UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,823	02/27/2004	Dominick Frustaci	37505.0206	6947
33751 GREATBATCI	7590 04/23/2007 H LTD	•	EXAMINER	
9645 WEHRLE	DRIVE	CREPEAU, JONATHAN		
CLARENCE, NY 14031			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
				-
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	•		4/				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/788,823	FRUSTACI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Faile Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPOSITION OF	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	<u>March 2007</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	n.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,9,15 and 17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-14,16 and 18 is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2004 is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen		Banklan Na				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	• •					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 	•	eceived in this National Stage				
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ceived				
·		to and continued copies there					
Attachmer							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sun Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 2/27/04.		rmal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/788,823 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of species (i)(a), (ii)(b) and (iii)(a) in the reply filed on March 26, 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 3, 9, 15, and 17 are withdrawn herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-14, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 1154500. The reference teaches an electrochemical cell having an anode comprising an alkali metal and a cathode comprising an intercalation material (see [0014]). The cell further comprises a casing having a curved wall (see Fig. 8). The anode and cathode are

Application/Control Number: 10/788,823

Art Unit: 1745

unitary members having face portions connected by connecting portions (see Fig. 2). The electrode assembly is wound such that the size of the face portions decreases in an outward direction (see [0046]). The cell can be case-positive or case-negative and may, for example, contain six anode face plates and five cathode face plates (see [0030], [0045], [0046]).

Thus, the instant claims are anticipated.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-14, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Spillman et al (U.S. Patent 6,635,381). The Spillman '381 patent corresponds to the EP '500 publication and is applied for the reasons stated above.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned

Application/Control Number: 10/788,823

Art Unit: 1745

with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-14, 16, and 18 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,635,381. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the '381 patent claims anticipate at least the independent claims of the instant application and render the remaining claims obvious.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, can be reached at (571) 272-1292. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/788,823 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

April 19, 2007